

By JOHN TIERNEY Published: July 15, 2008

Until recently, the impact of Title IX, the law forbidding sexual discrimination in education, has been limited mostly to sports. But now, under pressure from Congress, some federal agencies have quietly picked a new target: science.

TierneyLab

The National Science Foundation, NASA and the Department of Energy have set up programs to look for sexual discrimination at universities receiving



BL(

What accounts for the relatively low numbers of women in some fields of science and engineering? Join the discussion at TierneyLab.

Go to TierneyLab »

Further Reading

"U.S. Agencies Quiz Universities." Y. Bhattacharjee. Science, 2007.

"Why Can't A Woman Be More Like A Man?" C. Hoff Sommers. The American, 2008.

"Women in Physics and Astronomy, 2005. R. Ivie and K. Nies Ray. American Institute of Physics Report, 2005.

"Study of Mathematically Precocious Youth After 35 Years." D. Lubinski and C.Persson Benbow. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2006.

"Why are there so few women in information technology?" J.L. Rosenbloom, R.A. Ash, B. Dupont, L. Coder. Journal of Economic Psychology, 2007.

"The Sexual Paradox: Men, Women, and the Real Gender Gap." S. Pinker. Scribner, 2008.

"Title IX as a change strategy for women in science and engineering." D.R. Rolison. Women, Work & the Academy Conference, 2004.

"The freedom to say 'no.'" E. McArdle. Boston Globe, 2008.

RSS Feed Get Science News From The New York Times » federal grants. Investigators have been taking inventories of lab space and interviewing faculty members and students in physics and engineering departments at schools like Columbia, the <u>University of Wisconsin</u>, <u>M.I.T.</u> and the <u>University of Maryland</u>.

So far, these Title IX compliance reviews haven't had much visible impact on campuses beyond inspiring a few complaints from faculty members. (The journal Science quoted Amber Miller, a physicist at Columbia, as calling her interview "a complete waste of time.") But some critics fear that the process could lead to a quota system that could seriously hurt scientific research and do more harm than good for women.

The members of Congress and women's groups who have pushed for science to be "Title Nined" say there is evidence that women face discrimination in certain sciences, but the quality of that evidence is disputed. Critics say there is far better research showing that on average, women's interest in some fields isn't the same as men's.

In this debate, neither side doubts that women can excel in all fields of science. In fact, their growing presence in former male bastions of science is a chief argument against the need for federal intervention.

Despite supposed obstacles like "unconscious bias" and a shortage of role models and mentors, women now constitute about half of medical students, 60 percent of biology majors and 70 percent of <u>psychology</u> Ph.D.'s. They earn the majority of doctorates in both the life sciences and the social sciences. They remain a minority in the physical sciences and engineering. Even though their annual share of doctorates in physics has tripled in recent decades, it's less than 20 percent. Only 10 percent of physics faculty members are women, a ratio that helped prompt an investigation in 2005 by the American Institute of Physics into the possibility of bias.

But the institute found that women with physics degrees go on to doctorates, teaching jobs and tenure at the same rate that men do. The gender gap is a result of earlier decisions. While girls make up nearly half of high school physics students, they're less likely than boys to take Advanced Placement courses or go on to a

- 2. Everybody Economic
- Well: Dru
 Kay Ryan,

Laureate

- 5. Art Auctic Accusatio
- 6. Problems
- 7. The Versa
- 8. Frugal Tra
- 9. Music Rev Waltz
- 10. Jets? Yes!

Go to Complete

The New H



Don't miss the Also in T Maga Required view Identity crisis Sweaty summer Street Stree

ADVERTISEM

Need to know r Get 50% off hor Times.



college degree in physics.

These numbers don't surprise two <u>psychologists</u> at <u>Vanderbilt University</u>, David Lubinski and Camilla Persson Benbow, who have been tracking more than 5,000 mathematically gifted students for 35 years.

They found that starting at age 12, the girls tended to be better rounded than the boys: they had relatively strong verbal skills in addition to math, and they showed more interest in "organic" subjects involving people and other living things. Despite their mathematical prowess, they were less likely than boys to go into physics or engineering.

But whether they grew up to be biologists or sociologists or lawyers, when they were surveyed in their 30s, these women were as content with their careers as their male counterparts. They also made as much money per hour of work. Dr. Lubinski and Dr. Benbow concluded that adolescents' interests and balance of abilities — not their sex were the best predictors of whether they would choose an "inorganic" career like physics.

A similar conclusion comes from a new study of the large gender gap in the computer industry by Joshua Rosenbloom and Ronald Ash of the <u>University of Kansas</u>. By administering vocational psychological tests, the researchers found that information technology workers especially enjoyed manipulating objects and machines, whereas workers in other occupations preferred dealing with people.

Once the researchers controlled for that personality variable, the gender gap shrank to statistical insignificance: women who preferred tinkering with inanimate objects were about as likely to go into computer careers as were men with similar personalities. There just happened to be fewer women than men with those preferences.

Now, you might think those preferences would be different if society didn't discourage girls and women from pursuits like computer science and physics. But if you read "The Sexual Paradox," Susan Pinker's book about gender differences, you'll find just the opposite problem.

Ms. Pinker, a clinical psychologist and columnist for The Globe and Mail in Canada (and sister of <u>Steven Pinker</u>, the <u>Harvard</u> psychologist), argues that the campaign for gender parity infantilizes women by assuming they don't know what they want. She interviewed women who abandoned successful careers in science and engineering to work in fields like architecture, law and education — and not because they had faced discrimination in science.



More Articles in Science »

Think Yo to Go Ba Graduate Online



classesUSA™

Ads by Google

Science Toy Fun kits, exper www.sciplus.cor

Johns Hopki 14 Graduate E and DC www.epp.jhu.ed

Sarah's Scie 20 years makin kids 5-15 www.sarahscier Findings - John Tierney - Science Has Become the New Frontier for Titl...

http://www.nytimes.com/2008/07/15/science/15tier.html?_r=2&pagewa...

what's this?

Ads by Google

Science Toys - All Ages

Fun kits, experiments, supplies, projects at low, surplus prices. www.sciplus.com

Be an Engineer

Advance your career - earn a degree in Engineering completely online. www.DegreeInformation.com

Chicago Engineering

Chicago area HVAC contractor 114 years of quality - Call today! FiebrandtHeating1.com

Past Coverage

LIFE'S WORK; Diversity Isn't Rocket Science, Is It? (May 15, 2008) King Tries to Grow Modern Ideas In Desert, Free of Saudi Taboos (October 26, 2007) Droid Rage (October 21, 2007) Computer Science Takes Steps To Bring Women to the Fold (April 17, 2007)

Related Searches

Women	Add Alert
Science and Technology	Add Alert
Engineering and Engineers	Add Alert
Education and Schools	Add Alert

INSIDE NYTIMES.COM

STYLE »	MUSIC »	OPINION »	U.S. »	OPINION »	
		The Right to Know The Senate must not be cowed by the Bush administration in taking up a proposed law to provide journalists with limited protection.			
A Fashion Provocateur, Now Less Daring	With Friends, Billy Joel Gives Shea Its Last Waltz		Seattle Closes Doors on Automated Toilets	Letters: Tr the Humar	
Home World U.S. N.Y. / Region Business Technology Science Health Sports Opinion Arts Style Travel Jr Copyright 2008 The New York Times Company Privacy Policy Search Corrections RSS First Look Help Cc					